

BOARD MEMBERS

CITY OF LOS ANGELES, CA

PRESIDENT
Michael Greenwald

VICE PRESIDENT
Ray Pollok

Brian Allen
Alfredo Castillo
Kyle Ellis
Sid Gold
Bill Hopkins
Wayde Hunter
Oscar Jimenez
Rahim Kazi

Ralph Kroy
Amanda Nall
Dave Parikh
Skylar Rose
Rob Sherman
Jan Subar
Andres Topete
Joe Vitti



**Granada Hills North NC Board Meeting
at Saint Euphrasia School Auditorium,
11766 Shoshone Ave., Granada Hills, 91344
Date Change Monday July 10, 2017 at 6:30 PM**

GRANADA HILLS NORTH NEIGHBORHOOD COUNCIL

11139 Woodley Avenue
Granada Hills, CA

Tel: (818) 923-5592

www.GHNNC.org

All agenda items are subject to discussion and possible Committee action

- A. Call to Order, Roll Call, Pledge of Allegiance, Welcome/Introductions/Calendar
- B. Comments from CD 12, LAPD Senior Lead Officers, Elected Official Reps, Government Agencies
- C. Public Comment on non-agenda items (limited to two minutes)
- D. Emergency Preparedness Item of the Month
- E. Motion to approve the June 6, 2017 Board meeting minutes
- F. Motions, possible motions and discussion items for Board Meeting:
- G. Executive Committee.
 1. Motion in opposition to the City of Los Angeles' proposed "Cannabis Commission Creation and Organization Ordinance." Where, because of the future regulation by the State of California a Cannabis Department will quickly become superfluous, GHNNC suggests either that the City (1) appoints a coordinator between City Departments on the issue of cannabis, and/or (2) enact a sunset provision within the proposed legislation such that, upon substantive regulation by the State, the Cannabis Department wraps-up operations and leaves regulation and enforcement in the hands of the State of California.
 2. Motion in support of changing the City of Los Angeles' proposed "Requirements for Commercial Cannabis Activity" to (1) redefine "Proper Notice" to include a 800 foot radius rather than a 500 foot radius so that it is harmonized with the proposed "Commercial Cannabis Location Restriction Ordinance"; and (2) Require that any public hearing on a proposed commercial cannabis site be set 90 days after notice is provided to the relevant Neighborhood Council(s). (See attachment #1)
 3. Motion to authorize Kyle Ellis to post Community Impact Statements.
 4. Motion to oppose Senate Bill 231.
- H. Treasurer Report; Brian Allen.
 1. Motion to approve June Report.
 2. Motion to approve the 2017 to 2018 Budget (See attachment #2)
 3. Budget Advocate report and possible appointment of another representative as a Budget Representative.
 4. Demonstration of the new Neighborhood Council Funding portal.
- I. Outreach and Publicity Committee Report; Amanda Nall.
- J. Policy and Rules Committee. Ralph Kroy
 1. Update on By-Laws.
- K. Planning and Land Use Management, Report; Agnes Lewis and Kyle Ellis
 1. Motion to accept the SF Mission & Woodley project without objection.
 2. Motion to modify the previously supported "Neighborhood Council Notification Proposal for Developments," approved on April 04, 2017, to add the text as attached to this motion. (See attachment #3)
- L. Citywide Committee Report; Sid Gold.
- M. Public Safety, Report; Ray Pollok.
- N. Emergency Preparedness Report; Bill Hopkins.
- O. Committee Comment on Non-Agenda Items.
- P. Adjournment

Time allocations for agenda items are approximate and may be shortened or lengthened at the discretion of the Chairperson.

The public is requested to fill out a "Speaker Card" to address the Board on any agenda item before the Board takes an action on an item. Comments from the public on agenda items will be heard only when the respective item is being considered. Comments from the public on other matters not appearing on the agenda that are within the Board's jurisdiction will be heard during the General Public Comment period. Please note that under the Brown Act, the Board is prevented from acting on a matter that you bring to its attention during the General Public Comment period; however, the issue raised by a member of the public may become the subject of a future Board meeting. Public comment is limited to 2 minutes per speaker, unless waived by the presiding officer of the Board.

The agendas for the GHNNC meetings are posted for public review at the GHNNC Office (11139 Woodley Ave), as well as on the GHNNC's official website at www.GHNNC.org. Stakeholders may also subscribe to the City of Los Angeles Early Notification System (ENS), through the City's website at www.lacity.org, to receive notices for GHNNC meetings. For more information, you may also contact GHNNC, at 818 923-5592.

As a covered entity under Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act, the City of Los Angeles does not discriminate on the basis of disability and upon request will provide reasonable accommodation to ensure equal access to its programs, services, and activities. Sign language interpreters, assisted listening devices, or other auxiliary aids and/or services may be provided upon request. To ensure availability of services, please make your request at least 3 business days (72-hours) prior to the meeting by contacting the Neighborhood Council Project Advocate at (213) 978-1551.

In compliance with Government Code section 54957.5, non-exempt writings that are distributed to a majority or all members of the Board in advance of a meeting, may be viewed at the Neighborhood Council meeting or on the Neighborhood Council website at www.GHNNC.org. In addition, if you would like a copy of any record related to an item on the agenda, please contact the Council, at 818 923-5592 or email info@ghnnc.org.

SI REQUIERE SERVICIOS DE TRADUCCION, FAVOR DE NOTIFICAR AL CONCEJO VECINAL 3 DÍAS DE TRABAJO (72 HORAS) ANTES DEL EVENTO. SI NECESITA ASISTENCIA CON ESTA NOTIFICACION, POR FAVOR CONTACTE A GHNNC, at 818 923-5592.
RECONSIDERATION AND GRIEVANCE

For information on the Process for Reconsideration, stakeholder grievance policy, or any other procedural matter related to this Council, please consult the GHNNC Bylaws by visiting www.GHNNC.org or visiting the GHNNC, at 818 923-5592.

COMMENTS ON THE PROPOSED CANNABIS REGULATIONS

Cannabis Department Creation and Organization

Given that the State of California is probably going to take control of licensing and permitting recreational marijuana throughout the state of CA – there does not appear to be a need to create a full department to conduct such activities within the City of Los Angeles

- (1) Would suggest that the City refrain from the creation of a full department, and instead appoint a coordinator for current departments to issue City licenses, and which can be transitioned back when the State implements its own statutes/regulations on the topic.
- (2) Otherwise, would suggest that there is a sunset provision – where, upon the passage of substantive licensing or permitting laws the Cannabis Department (a) manages the transition, and then (b) wraps-up operations.

Proposed Requirements for Commercial Cannabis Activity

(pp. 5, ¶10) “Proper Notice” is currently defined as a 500 foot radius, however, the proposed commercial cannabis activity prohibition and limited immunity outlines a 800 foot radius prohibiting commercial activities

- (1) The radii in the ‘limited immunity’ and ‘proposed requirements’ statutes should be harmonized so notice is provided out to 800 feet from a proposed commercial cannabis site.
- (2) Otherwise, quite happy that “Proper Notice” includes the local Neighborhood Council.

(pp.7-8, ¶4(a)) Currently the public hearing must occur within 30 days of ‘proper notice.’ This is insufficient time for a local Neighborhood Council to take any actions.

- (1) At a minimum, the local Neighborhood Council needs 60-90 days in order to review the permit/license in committee, and then take a full Board vote on whether to take any actions as to the permit/license. Moreover, the as the Broad may need to allocate funds in order to notify their community of the permit/license (taking up to 60 days), and only after those funds are allocated be able to adequately notify the community. Therefore, the public hearing should take place between 60-90 days after proper notice is affected.

(pp. 11, ¶2) Currently allows Medical Marijuana dispensary sites to apply for commercial permits/licensing so long as they are operating in “substantial compliance with the limited immunity and tax provisions.”

- (1) Does not offer any limits on what ‘substantial compliance’ means with regards to the distance requirements in the ‘limited immunity’ statute. Suggest that the City adds a catchall provision stating that “in no case closer than 700 feet from a protected location,” such as a school.

(pp.17, ¶10) Currently requires the financial information listing monies held in savings, checking, and other accounts held in a financial institution, together with loans.

- (1) Given the continuing Federal prohibition on the use and sale of cannabis, many commercial & medical cannabis operations operate exclusively in cash. Therefore, this provision should be re-worded in order to include the cash funds allocated towards the business.

(pp.27, ¶20) Currently requires sign stating that “No Loitering, Public Drinking, or Public Smoking of Cannabis” be posted in and outside of the business.

- (1) As pp.26, ¶18 prevents *all* consumption of cannabis on the premises, the sign should replace the word “Smoking” with “Consumption”

(pp.45, ¶1) Currently lists a number of City Departments able to inspect, investigate, or audit commercial cannabis businesses.

- (1) Should specifically permit the new Department, the local Neighborhood Prosecutor, or the City Attorney’s Office generally to conduct an investigation upon the request of a local Neighborhood Council.

2017-18 Budget vs Expenses

(Approved 00/00/0000)

Budget 2017 - 2018

FY '17 - '18 Budget Proposed	2017-2018 Increase / <Decrease>	DONE Approved	Board Appv'd Budget Adjustments	Final FY '17 - '18 Budget
------------------------------------	---------------------------------------	------------------	---------------------------------------	---------------------------------

		FY '17 - '18 Budget Proposed	2017-2018 Increase / <Decrease>	DONE Approved	Board Appv'd Budget Adjustments	Final FY '17 - '18 Budget
(100) Operations	53%					
2	COP PLUM Copying Expense	\$100.00				\$100.00
4	FAC Facility Rent	\$14,450.00				\$14,450.00
5	FAC P.O. Box Rental	\$110.00				\$110.00
6	FAC Phone and Internet Systems	\$100.00				\$100.00
8	OFF Office Supplies	\$1,000.00				\$1,000.00
10	WEB Website Expenses	\$1,560.00				\$1,560.00
SUBTOTAL		\$17,320.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$17,320.00
(200) Outreach	31%					
12	ADV General Neighborhood Outreach	\$4,000.00				\$4,000.00
15	MEE Meeting Refreshments	\$900.00				\$900.00
17	NEW Newsletters	\$4,500.00				\$4,500.00
18	OTH Events	\$1,600.00				\$1,600.00
19	OTH VANC & Budget Advocates & Congress	\$1500.00				\$1500.00
20	OTH Chamber (Parade, Street Faire)	\$1,500.00				\$1,500.00
21	OTH Banners / Brochures / Other Items	\$180.00				\$180.00
SUBTOTAL		\$14,180.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$14,180.00
(300) Community Improvements	7%					
23	EMR Emerg. Prep. Promo Items	\$5000.00				\$5000.00
28	OTH Community Improvement Projects	\$2,000.00				\$2,000.00
SUBTOTAL		\$7,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$7,000.00
(400) Neighborhood Purpose Grants	11%					
29	GRT Neighborhood Purpose Grants	\$3,500.00				\$3,500.00
SUBTOTAL		\$3,500.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$3,500.00
(500) Elections	6%					
30	ELE Election Outreach Expense					\$0.00
SUBTOTAL		\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Totals						
BUDGET TOTAL		\$42,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$42,000.00

Unpaid from 2016-2017

DRAFT – CURRENT AS OF JUNE 27, 2017

POSSIBLE ADDITION TO THE NEIGHBORHOOD COUNCIL NOTIFICATION PROPOSAL FOR DEVELOPMENTS

((TO BE INCLUDED IMMEDIATELY AFTER THE CURRENT PROPOSAL’S TEXT))

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, _____ Neighborhood Council proposes that any hearing requests or waivers mentioned above should be created as form documents, promulgated by the Department of City Planning, and be designed to allow each Neighborhood Council to state the grounds upon which they are either requesting a hearing or offering a waiver. Space to comment on the following categories shall be included in any form document so drafted:

- (1) Whether the proposed development is of substantial interest to the community;
- (2) Whether the proposed development is designed to match or contribute to the aesthetics of the community;
- (3) Whether the proposed development would alter or change the existing uses of the development site in a manner that conflicts with or divides the community;
- (4) Whether the proposed development is designed to meet the needs of the communities existing and future residents;
- (5) Whether the proposed development would accommodate a broad range of uses that serve the needs of adjacent residents and promote neighborhood activity;
- (6) Whether the proposed development is adequately served by City services, including access to parks, recreation, public transportation, police, and fire services; and
- (7) Whether there are any additional considerations the Neighborhood Council believes to be relevant to the community’s interest in the proposed development.